	p .1	ention of Cla	ims/Ol	ojection received from CA Firms	Annexure I
1		Eligibility	Marks	Claims/Objection Received from CA Firm	Clarification & Explanation
.N D.	CA Firm Name Anjali Jain & Associates	No	85	We have a sufficient number of staffs for qualifying the criteria and the staffs mentioned in the technical bid do qualify the criteria. Still we have been allotted 50 marks out of 60 marks in the criteria of assignment of key professional staffs.	 Inadequecy of relevent experience of CA,s and CA Inter. Team Leader and team member in the same CV. No undertaking regarding blacklisting of firm submitted.
2	Jitendra Agarwal & Associates	yes	78	considered before making any allotment for this assignment (A) Evaluation of CVs: (B) Mandatory Submission of Undertaking wrt Black listing:	Proposal has been re evaluated and necessary correction has been made. Now the firm score is 84 in stead of 78. Required information are not detailed in key professional CV's.
3	Manmohan Singh & Co.	No	0	Our expression of interest for above assignment has not been evaluated because of non submission of Financial statement including ITR, but we have to say that to the best of our knowledge we have attached the above documents along with other supporting document and request you to kindly re-verify the thing.	No Financial Statement supported with ITR attached which is essential for technical bid evaluation. Hence not evaluated.
4	NKD & CO	No	87	We noticed that M/s KBDS & co. (Serial No-27) has branch office in Bihar and head office in Delhi. As per ToR for Head office 10 (Ten) Marks & for Branch office 5 (Five) Marks should have been allotted. But M/S KBDS & co. Has been allotted 10 (Ten) marks instead o 5 (Five)	f
5	J. SINGH & ASSOCIATES	yes	83	Our Claim and objections on the marks allotted on Assigned Key Staff CA (60 Marks) in this point our Firm has secured only 48 Marks instead of 60 Marks because we have already enclosed our Four Qualified Chartered Accountants CVs and Four Semi-Qualified CA – Inter Assistants CVs with Adequate Experience which was mentioned in your Terms of Reference-cum-RFP for selection of Auditors under Scoring Criteria Point	No furt
	Jaiswal Brajesh & Co.	yes	94	number 5. We have submitted the CV alongwith the credentials of 3 CA Partners having experience more than 12 years an 9 CA(Inter)staff having experience more than your requirement i.e 3 years to 7 years with similar work assignments.	CV's have been re evaluated and found correct. No furt d changes required.

		£ Cl-	ims/Ob	ojection received from CA Firms	Annexure I
			Marks	a /Objection Received from CA Firm	Clarification & Explanation
7. N	CA Firm Name L.K.Saraf & Co	Eligibility No	15	with a harewith papers and documents required	
8	K.Hari Ji & Co.	yes	94	We are not agreed with marks awarded by your office to our firm for DRDA audit. Your evaluation committee has awarded only 54 marks for experience of Assigned KEY Staff whereas we have submitted profile of 12 CA (Inter) and all are having experience of Govt. Sector statutory and Internal Audit. We could not understand how your committee has awarded us only 54 marks for Assigned Key staffs. Hence we request you to please re evaluate our marks and give us 6 more marks.	CV's have been re evaluated and found correct. No further changes required.
9	Sushil Kumar Sharma & Co.	yes	69	Irregularity in allotting marks for CV criteria. KINDLY ALLOT 100 MARKS AS REQUIRED(PL	 Only two CV,s of semi qualified CA has been submitted instead of three required CV,s. Lack of relevent experience in attached CV,s Required information has not been mentioned in most of
10	RN SINHA & CO	yes	70	MAKE THE NECESSARY MODIFICATION AS REQUIRED IN THE TECHNICAL MARKING SCORLLIST). FIRMS SHOULD SUBMIT ALL THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENT AS THEY HAVE CLAIMED IN THE PROPOSAL. As such All most all the CA firms in the List haven't produced the undertaking	the CV,s.
11	Sunil Shayama & Associates	No	0	Our firm proposal for appointment not considered for Technical evaluation due to reason that firm Head office or Branch Office not in Bihar	Col
12	Gupta Sachdeva & Co	No	73	We request you to please review the marks allotted to u based on the experience of our firm.	CAG empanelment verified though online and found correct.
	MOHINDRA & ASSOCIATES	No	0	please find attached CAG Empenalment letter as this letter is previously attached alongwith tender document and for some reason it was misplaced.	Now the proposal has been evaluated andrequired relevent experience / Adequecy of assignment has been not found. Scot
	4 Chamaria & Co	yes	90	1	led CV's have been re evaluated and found correct. No furt changes required.

		of Cla	ims/Oh	jection received from CA Firms	Annexure I
	Explanati			I received from CA Firm	Clarification & Explanation
.N	CA Firm Name	Eligibility	Marks	it is humble request to consider above for the matching	Two CV,s of semi qualified has been not submitted by the firm.
.5	S. TEKRIWAL & ASSOCIATES	yes	63	marking	Undertaking for blacklisting not submitted. CV,s of key
16	Shivanand Kumar & Co.	No	52	CA serial No. 8.	professional also not attached. 1. Relevant experience / Adequecy of the assignment in the
17	Barun & Co.	No	55	we request you to kindly check the marks awarded in Assigned Key Staff Column - partner and semi- qualified staff.	all the CVs have not been found. Proposal has been re evaluated and total marks will be 88
18	P. JYOTI & Co.	yes	84	Regarding objection in technical evaluation/selection against appointment of Chartered Accountants Firm for conducting Statutory Audit of programme of Rural Development Department for the FY 2016-17	in stead of 84. CV,s of two qualified has not been submitted.
19	Anand Mohan & Associates	No	70	As per the list declared by the department we are not satisfied the marks awarded.	Relevant experience / Adequecy of the assignment in the
20	NAVEEN UPADHYAYA &	No	85	We hereby request yourself to Kindly provide us the basis of marks allotted agst. Assigned key professional staff qualification & experience. We further request you to kindly review the scores once again, before finalising	the CV,s has not been found.
	Successive	No	82	the allotment. objection regarding evoluation of assigned Key Staff	Relevant experience / Adequecy of the assignment in the the CV,s has not been found. Proposal has been re evaluated and total marks will be
2:	2 D.P Chatterjee & Co.	No	80	objection regarding evqluation of assigned Key Staff	in stead of 80.
-	3 KRISHNA KUMAR & ASSOCIATES	No	52	IT IS OUR REQUEST TO KEEPING IN VIEW OF M ATTACHED PAGES FROM 48 TO 98 MARKS SHOULD BE AWARDED.	Reason of delay is not considered.
-	4 K.PANDEYA & CO	Not Evaluae	d	Delayed due to Postal Department fault.	CV's have been re evaluated and found correct. No fur
L	Khetan Rajesh Kumar & Co.	yes	89	our grievance/objection in the attached letter on the scoring pattern adopted and scores awarded by your office.	changes required.
\vdash	26 GHOSHAL & GHOSAL,	yes	66	Our Claim and objections on the marks allotted on	the one qualified CA CV and two semi qualified CA, CV,s has not been found.

		tion of Cla	ims/Oh	ojection received from CA Firms	Annexure l
	Explan			Claims/Objection Received from CA Firm	Clarification & Explanation
r.N o.	CA Firm Name	Eligibility No	Marks 71	Objection in respect of marks allotted in Empanelment List	Relevant experience / Adequecy of the assignment in the the CV,s has not been found.
_	Sadana & Company	No	45	objection regarding evqluation of assigned Key Staff	Proposal has been re evaluated and necessary correction has been made, now score is 52 instead of 45. But firm has not submitted CV's of all key professional.
	G. Mandal & Company S.N. KAPUR & Associates	yes	81	protest against the allotment of statutory audit of programmes of Rural Development department across its	Relevent experience to justify adequacy for the assignment could not be established through the CVs of Semi Qualified Staffs.
	S K JHA & ASSOCIATES	No	76	administrative units I request you to please reset the scoring criteria and re evaluate the technical score.	No undertaking regarding blacklisting of firm submitted.
	KAUSHAL PANDEY & CO.	No	87	Objection regarding award of marks	Re evaluation has been made and found that firm has less than 8 year experience and deducted 3 marks. Relevent experience also missing in few CV's.
	A.MITRA & ASSOCIATES	yes	40	objection on point alloted on technical evalution of Qualified & Semi qualified Staff on application for conducting Statutory audit programmees	CVs are not authenticated/ not signed. Hence unsigned CV,s has not been evaluated.
	PCS & ASSOCIATES	yes	71	protest against the allotment of statutory audit of Programmes	1.Required information are not mentioned in all key professional CV's. 2.Relevent experience to justify adequacy for the assignment could not be established.
34	R R Shrivastava & Associates	No	52	Claims regarding CV of CA Inter and CA Employee	Proposal has been re evaluated and total marks will be 78 in stead of 52. 1. CV of One semi qualified CA has not been submitted.
35	U. S. Prasad & Co.	yes	80	we request you to please re-evaluate the marks given to us.	Relevent experience to justify adequacy for the assignment could not be established. Required information has not been mentioned in the CV,
36	MRKS AND ASSOCIATES	No	55	i have objection on the calculation of score provided	
	Goyal Parul & Co.	yes	85	Objection regarding award of marks	Required information has not been mentioned in the CV,
-	M DALMIA & CO	yes	83	Objection in marks alloted in DRDA Application	Only two CA-Inter's CV's are attached in stead of three CV,s.In other CV,s there is lack of required information
-	9 A.K. Salampuria & Associates	yes	88	Objection in marks alloted	CV's have been re evaluated and found correct. No furth changes required.

	Fxnlanati	on of Cla	ims/Ob	pjection received from CA Firms	Annexure I
r.N		Eligibility	Marks	Claims/Objection Received from CA Firm	Clarification & Explanation
o. 40	Anil Mihir & Associates	No	71	protest of Technical score evaluation	Relevent experience to justify adequacy for the assignment could not be established. Merely length of experience provided without qualitative details to justify the adequacy.
41	Pandey & Co.	yes	82	protest of Technical score evaluation	Proposal has been re evaluated and total marks will be 85 in stead of 82. One CV of Semi Qualified furnished without information about passig as well as qualitative details of experience.
42	Mukesh Seema & Associates	yes	89	Objection in marks alloted	Proposal has been re evaluated and total marks will be 94 in stead of 89.
43	Burman Singh And Associates	yes	89		5 CV's have been re evaluated and found correct. No furthe changes required.
44	S.Chawdhury & Associates	yes	72	Short Marks allotted on our firm's Technical score	Relevant experience / Adequecy of the assignment in the CV,s has not been found.
45	SAROJ KUMAR JHA & ASSOCIATES	yes	84	Objection in Technical Score	Relevant experience / Adequecy of the assignment in the CV,s has not been found.
46	Thakur Bhuwanesh & Associates	yes	90	grievance and objection related to marks awarded in Technical Evaluation.	Relevant experience / Adequecy of the assignment in the CV,s has not been found.
47	RAJIV RANJAN & ASSOCAITES	N0	83	claims and objection on Technical Score	Relevant experience / Adequecy of the assignment in the CV,s has not been found.
48	VKBK&CO	yes	0	FIRMS SHOULD SUBMIT ALL THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENT AS THEY HAVE CLAIMED IN THE PROPOSAL. As such All most all the CA firms in the List haven't produced the undertaking	
49	Anju Sharma & Co.	No	37	claims and objection on Technical Score	CV,s of all the key professional has not been submitted in the proposal.

	Fun	lanation of Cla	ims/Ot	ojection received from CA Firms	Annexure I
			Marks	Claims/Objection Received from CA Firm	Clarification & Explanation
Sr.N	CA Firm Name	Eligibility	Widiks		No empanellment with CAG for FY 2016-17. It has been
50	Singhania Agrawal & Co.	NO	١ _	we are registered with CAG under registration no. ER0096. We have also submitted required forms and documents for renewal of our registration every year including 2015-16, 2016-17 and 2017-18. In confirmation of this we have already submitted acknowledgements for the same.	also been verified online.
C1	Subodh Goel & Co.	No	75	claims and objection on Technical Score	No undertaking regarding blacklisting of firm submitted.
21	Subbull Goel & Co.		<u> </u>	Nideration of our firm	1. Proposal has been re evaluated and necessary correction
52	CSG	No	0	Non consideration of our firm	has been made, now score is 88. 2. Required information are not detailed in key professional CV's.

		Observation	during	re-evaluation	Annexure II
	CA Firm Name	Eligibility	Marks	Ren	narks
Sr.No. 1	R. Shah & Co.	No	89	Re evaluation has been made and ITR/Audit report not submitted by eligible for evaluation as per RFP	the firm. Hence, proposal is not
2	Sanjeev Kiran & Associates.	yes	87	Firm is less than 8 years old. 7 marks for experience should be (Total Score now 84)	given in place of 10 marks.

SI.No.	Firm Name	Date of Establishment of Firm	General Experience of	Relevent Experience of Firm (20 marks)	S per RFP Cr Head /Branch Office in Bihar (10 Marks)	Assigned Key Staff CA (60 Marks)	Total Technical Score	Rank
		3	4	5	6	7	98	
1	2	18.04.1994	10	20	10	58		$\frac{1}{2}$
1	G.K. Sureka & Co.		10	20	10	57	97	3
2	R.N. Mishra & Co.	10.02.1979	10	20	10	57	97	
3	R.N. Singh & Co. Amitabh Chandra &	02.03.1992 27.04.2001	10	20	10	57	97	4
4	Co. Sachidanand	21.05.2003	10	20	10	57	97	5
	Choudhary & Co.		10	20	10	57	97	6
6	Chankya Ashok & Co.	20.12.2004			10	56	96	7
7	M.K. Singh & Co.	30.03.1993	10	20	†	56	96	8
8	Shamse Rub & Associates	16.4.1993	10	20	10	60	95	9
	V. Rohtagi & co	30.01.1972	10	20	5	00		10
9	Sanjeev Shankar	22.08.1995	10	20	10	55	95	10
10	Urmila & Co.		<u> </u>	20	10	55	95	11
11	Daruka & Co	03.06.1998	10	20	10	55	95	12
12	Kumar Kishore & Chandra	08.08.2002	10	20	10		95	13
13	Dinesh K Yadav &	14.01.2003	10	20	10	55		14
	Associates	01.05.1979	10	20	10	54	94	
14	R.M.Associates		10	20	10	54	94	15
15	Jaiswal Brajesh & Co			20	10	54	94	16
16	K. Hariji & Co.	09.01.1997	10		10	54	94	17
17	Mukesh Seema & Associates	13.06.2000	10	20		52	92	18
18	NR Baid & Co.	11.02.1971	10	20	10		-	19
—	Roy Ghose &	03.01.1991	10	20	5	57	92	l
19	Associates		10	20	10	52	92	20
20	R. DE & Associates	11.07.1994 05.01.1998	10	20	10	52	92	21

SI.No.	Firm Name	Date of Establishment of Firm	General Experience of Firm(Tech 2) (10	Relevent Experience of Firm (20 marks)	Head /Branch Office in Bihar (10 Marks)	Assigned Key Staff CA (60 Marks)	Total Technical Score	Rank 9
		3	4	5	6	77	8	22
1	2	14.06.2008	10	20	10	51	91	
	H.N.M & Associates Thakur Vaidyanath	01.10.1970	10	20	5	55	90	23
23	Ayar & Co.			20	10	50	90	24
24	H S Parmar & Co.	17.07.1972	10		5	55	90	25
25	KRA & Co.	01.01.1979	10	20	3			26
26	Laxmi Tripti & Associates	26.10.1988	10	20	5	55	90	
	AK Mishra &	06.09.1990	10	20	10	50	90	27
	Associates	40.02.4007	10	20	10	50	90	. 28
28	Chamaria & co Suman Jejani &	18.03.1997	10	20	5	55	90	29
29	Associates	06.12.1999	10			50	90	30
30	Bipin Vivek & Associates	22.12.2000	10	20	10	50	-	
31	Thakur Rhuwanesh &	30.12.2003	10	20	10	50	90	31
32	K K Chenani &	01.04.1993	10	20	5	54	89	32
	Associates Gupta Subhash Kumai	10.05.2000	10	20	10	49	89	33
33	& Co.	10.03.2000			10	49	89	34
34	Ketan Rajesh & Co.	08.10.2000	10	20	10	47		
35	Burman Singh & Associates	29.12.2005	10	20	5	54	89	35
36	A. K. Salampuria &	24.07.1987	10	20	10	48	88	36
30	Associates	17.00.0001	10	20	10	48	88	37
37	P. Jyoti & Co.	15.03.2001		20	10	48	88	38
38	CSG & Associates	10.12.1998	10	20	5	52	87	39
39	N. K. D. & Co.	15.05.1998	10	20			0.7	40
40	Mashi Maheshwari &	15.05.2008	10	20	10	47	87	40
41	Co. Parik & Co	13.03.1961	10	20	5	51	86	41

		L		d CA firms a	Head /Branch	Assigned Key	Total Technical	_
—т		Date of	General	Relevent	Office in Bihar	Staff	Score	Rank
NI NI	Firm Name	Establishment of	Experience of	Experience of	(10 Marks)	CA (60 Marks)		
SI.No.	riim Mame	Firm	Firm(Tech 2) (10	Firm (20 marks)	6	7	8	9
		3	4	5	5	50	85	42
1	Pandey & Co.	01.04.1972	10	20	3		0.5	43
			10	20	10	45	85	
43	H L Shah & Associates	02.01.1988		20	5	50	85	44
44	Naveen Upadhyay &	10.09.1996	10	20	3		85	45
44	Associates	10.10.1006	10	20	5	50		46
45	KBDS & Co.	10.12.1996	10	20	5	50	85	
46	Goyal Parul & Co.	25.02.2000	10		-	49	84	47
47	Jitendra Aggarwal & Associates	18.07.1987	10	20	5		84	48
	Saroj Kumar Jha &	15.06.1999	10	20	5	49		
48	Associates	13.00.1777		+	-	52	84	49
49	Kaushal Pandey & Co.	25.03.2009	10	20	5		1	50
			7	20	10	47	84	
50	Sanjeev Kiran & Associates	22.12.2009		ļ		+	83	51
 -		01.07.1040	10	20	5	48	83	
51	D.P. Chatterjee & Co.	01.07.1949	10	 		40	83	52
	T C' 1 P Associates	14.04.1978	10	20	5	48		
52	J. Singh & Associates				10	43	83	53
	Arun Kumar Singh &	21.05.1991	10	20	10			
53	Co.			-	10	43	83	54
-	Rajiv Ranjan &	19.03.1994	10	20	10		02	55
54	Associates		10	20	10	43	83	56
55	P. Puneet & Co.	01.01.1996	10	20	10	43	83	
56	M. Dalmia & Co.	01.12.2003	10			45	82	57
	Subhas Kumar &		7	20	10			
57	Associates	06.04.2010			_	46	81	58
	S.N. Kapur &	01.11.1980	10	20	5			59
58	Associates		10	15	10	46	81	1 39
59		05.06.2000	10	15				

(Annexure IV)

Sl.No.	Firm Name	Date of Establishment of	General Experience of Firm(Tech 2) (10	Relevent Experience of	Head /Branch Office in Bihar	Assigned Key Staff CA (60 Marks)	Total Technical Score	Rank 9
1	2	3	4	3		41	81	60
	Sanjay Jagannath &	03.03.2003	10	20	10	41		
	Associates		10	20	10	41	81	61
61	Shrawan Rungta & Co.	31.12.2004	10		10	40	80	62
62	U.S. Prasad & Co.	04.12.1991	10	20	10		-0	63
	R R Shrivastava &	28.11.2001	10	20	10	38	78	
63	Associates		ļ		10	41	76	64
64	M.K. Mishra &	17.07.2008	10	15	10	41		
	Associates	+		20	5	40	75	65
65	Dutta P. Kumar & Associates	23.04.1996	10	20	L			