

दिनांक 27.08.2026 को सम्पन्न परिवाद समिति की बैठक की कार्यवाही।

पथ प्रमंडल, नवादा के अन्तर्गत Long Term Output and Performance Based Road Assets Maintenance Works Under OPRMC-III Package No.-48A/Nawada कार्य की निविदा के तकनीकी बीड में प्राप्त परिवाद पर विभागीय पत्रांक-2217(E) दिनांक-01.04.2026, पत्रांक-2360(E) दिनांक-09.04.2026 एवं पत्रांक-5625(E) दिनांक-17.08.2026 द्वारा गठित समिति की बैठक की कार्यवाही।

उपस्थिति:

- (i) श्री उमा कान्त रजक, अभियंता प्रमुख-सह-अपर आयुक्त-सह-विशेष सचिव (कार्य प्रबंधन), पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना।
- (ii) श्री अभिषेक पलासिया, संयुक्त सचिव, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना।
- (iii) श्री राजेश कुमार गुप्ता, मुख्य अभियंता, निविदा प्रबंधन, नीतिगत मामले एवं लेखा परीक्षा, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना।
- (iv) श्री राजकुमार, मुख्य अभियंता, दक्षिण, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना।

2. दिनांक-13.08.2026 को आहूत तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति की कार्यवाही, जो उपभागीय ज्ञापांक-2692 दिनांक-13.08.2026 द्वारा संसूचित है, में लिये गये निर्णय के विरुद्ध प्राप्त परिवाद पर मुख्य अभियंता, दक्षिण, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना के पत्रांक-2837(अनु०) दिनांक-22.08.2026 के माध्यम से परिवाद पर दिनांक-22.08.2026 को आहूत तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति की कार्यवाही एवं अनुशंसा के आधार पर विवरणी निम्नवत् है :-

- 2.1 कार्य का नाम एवं पैकेज संख्या : Long Term Output and Performance Based Road Assets Maintenance Works Under OPRMC-III Package No.-48A/Nawada
- 2.2 योजना मद : 3054
- 2.3 निविदा आमंत्रित करने वाले प्रमंडल का नाम : पथ प्रमंडल, नवादा।
- 2.4 प्रशासनिक स्वीकृति की राशि एवं प्रसंग : ₹17641.52 लाख।
विभागीय ज्ञापांक-3247(एस०) दिनांक-12.05.2026
- 2.5 तकनीकी स्वीकृति की राशि एवं प्रसंग : ₹20188.42 लाख।
मुख्य अभियंता, दक्षिण, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना का ज्ञापांक-1704 दिनांक-23.05.2026
- 2.6 ECV/परिमाण विपत्र की राशि : ₹17933.06840 लाख।
- 2.7 कार्य समाप्ति की अवधि : 84 (Eighty-four) Months.
- 2.8 निविदा की प्रक्रिया : ई-टेंडरिंग (MBD)
- 2.9 निविदा प्राप्ति की तिथि : 03.07.2026
- 2.10 प्राप्त निविदा क्या पुनर्निविदा है यदि हाँ तो कारण : पुनर्निविदा नहीं है।

3. विषयांकित निविदा में निम्नलिखित 06 (छः) संवेदकों द्वारा भाग लिया गया :-

- (1) M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. (2) M/s Niranjana Sharma
(3) M/s Surendra Pd. & Co. (4) Avednex Private Limited
(5) Agrawal Infrabuild Private Limited (6) M/s Ganesh Yadav-M/s Vinay Construction
Manish Kumar Singh (JV) (JV)

4. दिनांक-13.08.2026 को तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति द्वारा 06 (छः) निविदाकारों में से 04 (चार) निविदाकार यथा (1) M/s Surendra Pd. & Co. को MBD Section-03 Clause 1.2.1 (b) के तहत तकनीकी बीड में असफल (2) Avednex Pvt. Ltd. को MBD में वांछित कोई भी कागजात अपलोड नहीं करने के कारण तकनीकी बीड में असफल (3) Agrawal Infrabuild Private Limited & Manish Kumar Singh (JV) को NIT के क्रमांक-28 के तहत एवं (4) M/s Ganesh Yadav - M/s Vinay Construction (JV) को MBD Section 4, ELI-1, ELI-2 के तहत तकनीकी बीड में असफल तथा शेष 02 (दो) निविदाकार यथा (1) M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. एवं (2) M/s Niranjana Sharma को तकनीकी बीड में सफल घोषित करने का निर्णय लिया गया।

5. उपभागीय ज्ञापांक-2692 दिनांक-13.08.2026 द्वारा संसूचित दिनांक-13.08.2026 को सम्पन्न तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति की निर्णय के विरुद्ध विषयांकित कार्य की निविदा के तकनीकी बीड में असफल निविदाकार यथा (1) M/s Ganesh Yadav-M/s Vinay Construction (JV) के पत्रांक-GYVC/2026-27/14 दिनांक-14.08.2026 एवं (2) M/s Surendra Pd. & Co. के पत्रांक-शून्य दिनांक-16.08.2026 के द्वारा निविदा के तकनीकी बीड में सफल निविदाकार यथा M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. के विरुद्ध परिवाद/अभ्यावेदन/आपत्ति समर्पित किये जाने के परिप्रेक्ष्य में मुख्य अभियंता, दक्षिण, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना के पत्रांक-2837(अनु०) दिनांक-22.08.2026 द्वारा परिवाद पर दिनांक-22.08.2026 को आहूत तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति की बैठक की कार्यवाही एवं अनुशंसा समर्पित की गई है।

6. उपरोक्त तकनीकी बीड में असफल 02 (दो) निविदाकार यथा (1) M/s Ganesh Yadav - M/s Vinay Construction (JV) Ref No-GYVC/2026-27/14 दिनांक-14.08.2026 द्वारा एवं (2) M/s Surendra Pd. & Co. का 02 अदद पत्र Ref No.-शून्य दिनांक-16.08.2026 विषयांकित कार्य के तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन पर परिवाद प्राप्त हुआ है, जो निम्नवत् है :-

परिवाद/आपत्ति की समीक्षा :-

क्र० सं०	परिवादी निविदाकार का नाम	परिवाद/आपत्ति	तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति द्वारा परिवाद की समीक्षा एवं निर्णय/अनुशंसा	परिवाद समिति की अनुशंसा
1.	M/S Ganesh Yadav-Vinay Construction JV	In context to above noted tender and your technical bid evaluation date 13-08-2026, I have submitted my bid in the	निविदाकार M/s Vinay Construction द्वारा Uploaded partnership deed के कंडिका 12 में	M/s Ganesh Yadav-Vinay Construction JV जो NIT के

	name of M/s Ganesh Yadav - Vinay Construction JV. This is to submit my response to your evaluation that, we have submitted our bid in name of M/s Ganesh Yadav Vinay Construction JV but our bid has been technically disqualified mentioning reason that the second partner of M/s Ganesh Yadav - Vinay Construction JV namely Vinay Construction has not submitted or uploaded Power of Attorney. With reference to above technical evaluation I would like to clarify regarding the Power of Attorney (POA), we legally submit that a POA is not applicable in this specific instance. The second partner of the Joint Venture namely M/s Vinay Construction is a partnership firm constituted by its partners, Shri Ram Naresh Singh Yadav and Vinay Kumar. As per the provisions of the Indian Partnership Act, 1932, a partnership is not a separate legal entity distinct from its partners. Under Section 18 and Section 19 of the Act, every partner is an implied agent of the firm for the purposes of the business of the firm. In this case, both partners have jointly executed and delivered all the	अंकित है कि "That any work of the firm done by the first party, Second party" अतः परिवार के इस बिन्दु को मान्य किया जाता है।	क्रमांक-29 के आलोक में Power of attorney Rs. 1,000/- Stamp निविदा में संलग्न किया गया है। परिवार का यह बिन्दु मान्य है।
--	---	--	--





tender documents and bid submissions which are more briefly mentioned below:-

1) Firstly, there are only two partners in M/s Vinay Construction namely (i) Shri Ram Naresh Singh Yadav and (ii) Shri Vinay Kumar.

2) Whereas, both partners (Shri Ram Naresh Singh Yadav & Vinay Kumar) have jointly signed the Joint Venture Agreement and Joint Venture Undertaking.

3) Both partners of M/s Vinay Construction (Shri Ram Naresh Singh Yadav & Vinay Kumar) have jointly signed & authorized to give Power of Attorney to the Joint Venture Attorney to Ranjit Kumar Yadav to sign and deliver all documents related to the tender, therefore Ranjit Kumar Yadav (Power of Attorney holder of JV) has signed & uploaded all documents to the tender portal through his digital signature.

4) Both partners of M/s Vinay Construction, Shri Ram Naresh Singh Yadav and Vinay Kumar have given separate and Joint affidavit and declarations in regards to above tender.


Sir, it is to mention that A Power of Attorney is a tool used to delegate authority to

		someone else. Since the principals themselves (both partners) are signing together, they do not need to delegate authority to themselves. Because the absolute ownership and executionary powers of the firm are being exercised jointly and directly by all the existing partners together, the requirement to delegate authority via a Power of Attorney to a third party or to one single partner is redundant and legally unnecessary. Therefore, the joint signatures of all partners on the tender documents constitute full, absolute, and legally binding authorization for this bid. We request you to accept the bid submission and technically qualify the same accordingly. In light of these facts, we kindly request the committee to review this matter objectively to ensure fair judgment, justice, and non-discrimination among all bidders, thereby protecting the financial interests and revenue of the State through healthy, lawful competition.		
2.	M/s Surendra Pd. & Co.	1. Present representation/objection is being filed reconsider the candidature of the M/s Surendra Prasad and Company for grant of	औरंगाबाद जिला अन्तर्गत चिमली टोला से लंगुराही कार्य का निविदाकार द्वारा एकरारनामा 02RCPLWEA/2024-25	तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति की अनुशंसा से सहमत।




	work/Technical Bid, pursuant to NIT (Long Term Output & Performance Based Road Assets Maintenance Contract) (Under Package no.-48A/OPRMC-III Under Road Division Nawada for the year 2026-2033); as M/s Surendra prasad and Company is fulfilling all requisite criteria for grant of work and pursuant to decision taken by Technical Bid Evaluation committee, Office of Chief Engineer, South, Road construction Department, Patna, Bihar, as contained in memo no. 2692 dated 14.08.2026, which was uploaded on website on 14.08.2026, whereby and where under my candidature (Technical Bid) has been declared as nonresponsive/ I am declared disqualified, mentioning therein that "M/S Surendra Pd. & Co. is disqualified from the technical bid by the committee, as; under Road Division No.-1, Aurangabad for the work of Chimli Tola to Langurahi road under RCPLWEA, as per agreement 02 RCPLWEA/2024-25, the date of commencement of work was 10.01.2025 and the work was to be completed in 9 months, but even today the work is	दिनांक- 10.01.2026 को किया गया। एकरारनामा के अनुसार कार्य प्रारम्भ की तिथि-10.01.2026 एवं कार्य 09 माह में पूर्ण किया जाना था, परन्तु आजतक भी कार्य अधुरा है। संवेदक द्वारा 20 सितम्बर 2026 तक कार्य पूर्ण करने का शपथ पत्र दिया गया है। कार्य को समय पर पूर्ण नहीं करने के लिए संवेदक जिम्मेवार है। अतः MBD Section-03 Clause 1.2.1 (b) के तहत Record of poor Performance such as inordinate delays in completion के कारण निविदाकार को असफल घोषित किया गया है। अतः परिवाद के बिन्दु को अमान्य की जाती है।	
--	--	--	--

	incomplete. Hence, under section-03 Clause-1.2.1(b) of M BD, they do not fulfill the requisite eligibility for the tender due to record of poor performance such as inordinate delays in completion. 2. The brief facts for proper appreciation is that pursuant to the NIT published by the Department from the office of Executive Engineer Road Division, Nawada, Name of the work-(Long Term Output & Performance Based Road Assets Maintenance Contract) (Under Package no. 48A/OPRMC-III under road division Nawada for the year 2026-2033); and also for different works, M/s Surendra Prasad and Company being a registered class-1 contractor fulfilling requisite criteria had participated in the tender process and had applied for (Long Term Output & Performance Based Road Assets Maintenance Contract) (Under Package no.- 48A/OPRMC-III for the year 2026-2033); and the tender documents were duly uploaded and the same was received in your office. The other bidders also participated in the tender process.	
--	---	--




		3. The Department Technical Bid Evaluation committee headed by Chief Engineer, South, Road construction Department, Patna, Bihar, and other two members one Secretary (Adm) to Chief Engineer South, Road Constriction Department, Patna, and other Superintending Engineer, Magadh Road Circle, Gayaji, in its meeting dated 14.08.2026 has declared my technical bid as Non-responsive/ disqualified taking in to consideration " section-03 Clause-1.2.1(b) of MBD, they do not fulfill the requisite eligibility for the tender due to record of poor performance such as inordinate delays incompletion of work Namely; under Road Division No.-1, Aurangabad for the work of Chimli Tola to Langurahi road under RCPLWEA, as per agreement 02 RCPLWEA/2024-25, the date of commencement of work was 10.01.2025 and the work was to be completed in 9 months, but even today the work is incomplete, Pursuant to some letter no. 846 (Anu) dated 10.07.2026 issued by the Superintending Engineer, Magadh Road Circle, Gayaji,	
--	--	--	--

	without even noticing the M/s Surendra Prasad and Company, which is the basic requirement, pursuant to and in compliance to the Principles of Natural Justice. 4. That I was shocked and taken by surprise when I came to know about rejection and non consideration of my candidature, somehow I gathered myself and tried to verify section-03 Clause-1.2.1(b) of MBD. 5. That it is relevant to mention that Agreement no. 02 RCPLWEA/2024-25, under the Executive Engineer, Road Construction Department, Road Division-01, Aurangabad, was signed on 2nd of January 2025, Date of commencement of work was 10.01.2025 and the period of completion of work was 9 months. 6. That it is relevant to bring a relevant fact for kind consideration that the full form of RCPLWEA which is Road Connectivity Project for Left Wing Extremism Affected Areas, is self suggestive and self explanatory that It is a special separate vertical scheme under the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) managed by India's Ministry of	
--	---	--







		Rural Development, for badly extremist affected areas: Target Areas: Covers 44 of the worst Left Wing Extremism (LWE) affected and adjoining districts across 9 states (including Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Jharkhand, and others). Main Goal: Build all-weather roads and bridges. Two Main Purposes: i). Help security forces move easily for anti-LWE operations. ii). Bring better economic growth, schools, and health care to remote areas 7. That soon after allotment of work, I being a reputed company have diploid skilled workmen, Engineers, Laborers, Plant & Tools, machines, Equipments, for carrying out and completion of work, but unfortunately, on one pretext or the other the work was forcefully stopped by the Extremists every time and the fact was well informed to the Divisional as well as departmental authorities from time to time. Moreover, I vide request letter dated 14.07.2026 have furnished undertaking to the effect that he shall positively be completing the work bearing Agreement no. 02 RCPLWEA/2024-25, by 30th	
--	--	--	--

		of September 2026 and an affidavit to that effect has also been filed, along with revised work program me. 8. That it is reiterated that Department has issued NIT from the office of Executive Engineer Road Division, Nawada, Name of the work- (Long Term Output & Performance Based Road Assets Maintenance Contract) (Under Package no.- 48A/OPRMC-III for the year 2026-2033) for different works petitioner being a registered class-1 contractor fulfilling requisite criteria had participated in the tender process and had applied Package no.- 48A/OPRMC-III for the year 2026-2033 and the tender documents were duly uploaded and the same was received in office, and entire experience certificates and all other requirements are being fulfilled by the M/s Surendra Prasad and Company and the ground taken for rejection of the technical bid is not at all attributable for the, the delay in not inordinate, but, is beyond the control of, moreover, I am keen and sincere and taking all necessary steps for getting the work done and completing the	
--	--	---	--

12

88

	same and have requested the authorities to cooperate and take sympathetic view of the matter. In any view of the matter from the fact and circumstances stated and most humbly and respectfully narrated in the aforementioned paragraphs, there is no any inordinate delay in completion of work bearing Agreement no. 02 RCPLWEA/2024-25, the delay is not at all attributable to me, rather I have taken all necessary, sincere efforts for carrying out and taking all necessary steps for completion of the work in question. You are hereby requested to reconsider, take a sympathetic view of the matter, on the decision dated 14.08.2026 as contained in memo no. 2692, as there is no inordinate delay in completion of work, more so, I am not responsible for delay in completion of the work bearing Agreement no. 02 RCPLWEA/2024-25, there is no inordinate delay on my part, rather it is reiterated that I have already furnished undertaking to the effect that I shall positively be completing the work bearing Agreement no. 02 RCPLWEA/2024-25, by 30th of September 2026 and an	
--	---	--

	affidavit to that effect has also been filed, along with revised work programme vide request letter dated 14.07.2026, to the authorities of the Department as well as to the Executive Engineer, Road Construction Department, Road Division-01, Aurangabad and the work after due negotiations from the extremists, is running in full swing and considerable work has also been completed and the entire work if no any further hindrances occur, the work will be completed well within time line provided as per undertaking. Moreover, the I possesses requisite criteria, - (Long Term Output & Performance Based Road Assets Maintenance Contract) (Under Package no.-48A/OPRMC-III for the year 2026-2033) required for grant of work for which I have applied and participated in the tender process and it is further requested to accept my technical bid and allow me to participate in further process of tender, open my financial bid along with other bidders and consider my case for grant the present work, as the same is source of livelihood for earning bread for the persons associated	
--	--	--

Vz

OB

any

		with my company for completion of work and for myself. And for that I shall be thankful and grateful to you		
3.	M/s Surendra Pd. & Co.	This Pariwad is in Reference to OPRMC Package no- 48A under R.C.D Road division Nawada. This is to say that in this Package 7 Bidder have Participated. out of any 7 Bidder only 2 Bidder have been declared successful. namely (1) Niranjana Sharma (2) M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. I would like to draw your attention towards the paper uploaded by M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. In this technical sheet regarding hot mix plant it is compulsory for the Bidder to have hot mix plant ownership in his name in this tender the Bidder has not been submitted any evidence of possession of hot mix plant in the name of firm M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. The hot mix plant as shown in the tender uploaded by M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. is in the name of M/s Vashistha Narayan Singh Construction Sheikhpura, GST No- 10AAFCV0432M1ZM Invoice	Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. के द्वारा MBD की Section: 4 D3 form EQU में Hot Mix Plant DM-50 owned दिखाया गया है परंतु इसके साथ uploaded tax invoice Vashist Narayan Singh Construction के नाम से है जो इस कम्पनी Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd के भी Director है। Hot Mix Plant के साथ Calibaration Report एवं Bihar State Pollution Control Board का Certificate भी For HMP DM-50 भी upload है जो M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. के नाम से है। अतः परिवाद मान्य करने योग्य है।	MBD के Section 3, 2.6 के तहत Bidder को HMP (40-60 TPH Capacity) own करने से संबंधित supporting document निविदा में upload करना है। Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. द्वारा supporting document के रूप में Bihar State Pollution Control Board के पत्रांक-314 दिनांक- 11.02.2022 द्वारा निर्गत

	No-T1/2103067, Dated - 04/02/2021. He has also not submitted the work program and Bar chat for Major Activities to be carried out for ordinary maintenance I. R Work, P.M Work and M.I Work, separately for improvement and strengthening He has also participated in the tender in OPRMC Phase III, Package no- 27A/Hajipur in this tender in the technical Bid M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. has been made non responsive on the basis of not owing hot mix plant in the name of M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd.	एकरारनामा के पूर्व वित्तीय बीड में न्यूनतम निविदाकार से work programme पुनः लेने का प्रावधान है। अतः निविदा में जिस रूप में work programme upload किया गया है, उसे मान्य किया गया है। अतः परिवादी का आरोप अमान्य किया जाता है।	HMP का NOC निविदा में संलग्न किया गया है, जो Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. के नाम से निर्गत है। साथ ही Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. द्वारा HMP का दिनांक- 17.01.2022 का Calibration Certificate निविदा में upload किया गया है, जिससे स्पष्ट होता है कि HMP running condition में है। यह प्रमाण-पत्र भी Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. के नाम से निर्गत है। इस प्रकार Shristi
--	--	---	--

✓

20

				Developers Pvt. Ltd. द्वारा MBD के Section- 3 Clause 2.6 के आलोक में HMP को own करने से संबंधित Supporting document निविदा में संलग्न किया गया है। परिवाद का यह बिन्दु अमान्य है।
--	--	--	--	---

7. परिवाद की समीक्षा एवं तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति की अनुशंसा :-

समिति द्वारा सम्यक् विचारोपरान्त M/s Ganesh Yadav -Vinay Construction (JV) के परिवाद को मान्य करते हुए तकनीकी बीड में सफल करने की अनुशंसा की जाती है दिनांक-13.08.2026 को सम्पन्न तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति के शेष निर्णय को यथावत् रखने की अनुशंसा की जाती है।







8. परिवार समिति का निर्णय :-

(i) विषयांकित कार्य की निविदा के तकनीकी बीड में असफल निविदाकार यथा (1) M/s Ganesh Yadav-M/s Vinay Construction (JV) के पत्रांक-GYVC/2026-27/14 दिनांक-14.08.2026 के द्वारा दिए गए परिवार/आपत्ति को मान्य करने का निर्णय लिया जाता है एवं (2) M/s Surendra Pd. & Co. के परिवार/आपत्ति को अमान्य करने का निर्णय लिया गया।

(ii) 03 (तीन) निविदाकार यथा (1) M/s Surendra Pd. & Co. को MBD Section-03 Clause 1.2.1 (b) के आलोक में (2) Avednex Pvt. Ltd. द्वारा निविदा केवल GST Upload किया गया है एवं निविदा में वांछित अन्य कोई भी कागजात Upload नहीं करने के कारण अर्हता पूर्ण नहीं करने के कारण एवं (3) Agrawal Infrabuild Private Limited & Manish Kumar Singh (JV) को NIT के क्रमांक-28 के तहत तकनीकी बीड में असफल घोषित करने का निर्णय लिया गया।

(iii) तीन निविदाकार यथा (1) M/s Ganesh Yadav-Vinay Construction (JV) (2) M/s Niranjan Sharma एवं (3) M/s Shristi Developers Pvt. Ltd. द्वारा निविदा की वांछित अर्हता पूर्ण करने के कारण तकनीकी बीड में सफल घोषित करने का निर्णय लिया जाता है। तकनीकी बीड में सफल निविदाकारों का वित्तीय बीड खोलने का निदेश मुख्य अभियंता, दक्षिण, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना को दिया गया।

(iv) उपभागीय ज्ञापांक-2692 दिनांक-13.08.2026 द्वारा संसूचित दिनांक-13.08.2026 को सम्पन्न तकनीकी बीड मूल्यांकन समिति के निर्णय को इस हद तक संशोधित समझा जाय।

(v) मुख्य अभियंता, दक्षिण संबंधित परिवार बीडर को समिति के निर्णय से अवगत कराना सुनिश्चित करेंगे।

8.1 परिवार समिति की इस कार्यवाही को विभागीय वेबसाइट पर भी डाली जाय।


(राजकुमार)
मुख्य अभियंता,
दक्षिण, पथ निर्माण
विभाग, बिहार, पटना।


(राजेश कुमार गुप्ता)
मुख्य अभियंता, संविदा
प्रबंधन, नीतिगत मामले
एवं लेखा परीक्षा,
पथ निर्माण विभाग,
बिहार, पटना।


(अभिषेक पलासिया)
संयुक्त सचिव,
पथ निर्माण विभाग,
बिहार, पटना।


(उमा कान्त रजक)
अभियंता प्रमुख-सह-अपर
आयुक्त-सह-विशेष सचिव
(कार्य प्रबंधन),
पथ निर्माण विभाग,
बिहार, पटना।

ज्ञापांक: प्र0-7/निविदा-03-104/2026

5939(E)

पटना, दिनांक 27/8/2026

प्रतिलिपि:- मुख्य अभियंता, दक्षिण, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना/मुख्य अभियंता(अनुश्रवण), पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना को सूचनार्थ एवं आवश्यक कार्यवाई हेतु प्रेषित/आई0टी0 मैनेजर, पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार, पटना को विभागीय वेबसाइट पर अपलोड करने हेतु प्रेषित।


(उमा कान्त रजक)
अभियंता प्रमुख-सह-अपर आयुक्त-सह-विशेष सचिव(कार्य प्रबंधन),
पथ निर्माण विभाग, बिहार पटना।